Iteratively regularized Newton methods with general data misfit functionals and applications to Poisson data

Frank Werner

Institute for numerical and applied mathematics University of Göttingen, Germany

joint work with Thorsten Hohage

AIP 2011





Frank Werner, Göttingen

## Outline

## 1 Introduction

2 An iteratively regularized Newton method

3 Important special case: Poisson data

4 Application to a phase retrieval problem

#### **5** Conclusion

Frank Werner, Göttingen

Introduction

## Outline

## 1 Introduction

2 An iteratively regularized Newton method

3 Important special case: Poisson data

Application to a phase retrieval problem

#### **5** Conclusion

Frank Werner, Göttingen

# Photonic imaging

- Photonic imaging consists in counting photons which have interacted with some unknown object of interest.
- We want to reconstruct information on the unknown object  $\varphi^\dagger$  contained in these photon counts.
- Formulation as an operator equation

 $F(\varphi) = g$ 

where g describes the photon density on the manifold where measurements are taken.

• For fundamental physical reasons, photon count data  $g^{obs}$  are Poisson distributed with mean  $g^{\dagger}$  (true photon density).

Frank Werner, Göttingen

## Examples



- Positron Emission Tomography (PET)
- astronomical imaging
- scanning fluorescence microscopy, e.g. standard confocal, 4Pi or STED microscopy
- coherent x-ray imaging

Frank Werner, Göttingen

## **III-Posedness**

The forementioned problems are ill-posed in the sense that  $\varphi$  does not depend continuously on  $F(\varphi)$ . Hence, the problem cannot be solved directly or by a usual Newton method but regularization is needed. For nonlinear F one of the most popular methods is the iteratively regularized Gauss-Newton method (IRGNM)

$$\varphi_{j+1} = \underset{\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left( \left\| F'\left(\varphi_{j}; \varphi - \varphi_{j}\right) + F\left(\varphi_{j}\right) - g^{\operatorname{obs}} \right\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}}^{2} + \alpha_{j} \left\|\varphi - \varphi_{0}\right\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}}^{2} \right)$$

with some initial guess  $\varphi_0 \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

The regularization parameters  $\alpha_i$  control the stability and fulfill

$$\alpha_0 \leq 1, \qquad \alpha_j \searrow 0, \qquad 1 \leq \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_{j+1}} \leq C_{\text{dec}} \qquad \text{for all} \qquad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Frank Werner, Göttingen

# Noise adjusted regularization

The IRGNM corresponds to a Gaussian noise structure. Hence,

- the information about the noise structure is ignored and
- especially for low intensity we get bad reconstructions.

Our idea is to use another data misfit functional  ${\cal S}$  which incorporates the special structure of the noise and take

$$\varphi_{n+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}} \mathcal{S}\left(F\left(\varphi_{j}\right) + F'\left(\varphi_{j}; \varphi - \varphi_{j}\right); \mathsf{g}^{\mathrm{obs}}\right) + \alpha_{j} \mathcal{R}\left(\varphi\right)$$

where  $S(\cdot; g^{obs})$  is some convex data misfit functional and  $\mathcal{R}$  some convex penalty term. For Poisson data the first choice would be the negative log-likelihood

$$\mathcal{S}\left(g;g^{\mathrm{obs}}\right) = \int\limits_{\Omega} g - g^{\mathrm{obs}} \ln\left(g\right) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Frank Werner, Göttingen

## Alternatives

An alternative approach is nonlinear Tikhonov regularization

$$\varphi_{\alpha} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}} \mathcal{S}\left(F\left(\varphi\right); g^{\mathrm{obs}}\right) + \alpha \mathcal{R}\left(\varphi\right)$$

which has been considered by several authors:



#### J. M. Bardsley.

A theoretical framework for the regularization of Poisson likelihood estimation problems. *Inverse Problems and Imaging*, 4:11–17, 2010.



#### M. Benning and M. Burger.

Error estimates for general fidelities. Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis, 38:44–68, 2011.



#### J. Flemming.

Theory and examples of variational regularisation with non-metric fitting functionals. *Journal of Inverse and III-Posed Problems*, 18(6):677–699, 2010.



O. Scherzer, M. Grasmair, H. Grossauer, M. Haltmeier, and F. Lenzen. *Variational Methods in Imaging.* Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer, 2008.

Frank Werner, Göttingen

An iteratively regularized Newton method

#### Outline

## 1 Introduction

#### 2 An iteratively regularized Newton method

3 Important special case: Poisson data

Application to a phase retrieval problem

#### **5** Conclusion

Frank Werner, Göttingen

## Source condition I

The usual Hilbert space source condition

$$\varphi^{\dagger} - \varphi_{0} = \Lambda \left( F' \left[ \varphi^{\dagger} \right]^{*} F' \left[ \varphi^{\dagger} \right] \right) \omega$$

implies by spectral theory and Jensen's inequality

$$\left|\left\langle \varphi_{*}^{\dagger}, \varphi - \varphi^{\dagger} \right\rangle\right| \leq \left\|\omega\right\| \left\|\varphi - \varphi^{\dagger}\right\| \Lambda\left(\frac{\left\|F'\left[\varphi^{\dagger}\right]\left(\varphi - \varphi^{\dagger}\right)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|\varphi - \varphi^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}}\right)$$

This is the prototype of a variational source condition.

#### B. Kaltenbacher and B. Hofmann.

Convergence Rates for the Iteratively Regularized Gauss-Newton Method in Banach Spaces.

Inverse Problems, 26(3):035007, 2010.

Frank Werner, Göttingen

## Source condition II

We will assume the following generalization:

#### Multiplicative variational source condition

There exists  $\varphi_*^{\dagger} \in \partial \mathcal{R} (\varphi^{\dagger}) \subset \mathcal{X}'$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$  and a concave index function  $\Lambda : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  (i.e. continuous, monotonically increasing and  $\Lambda(0) = 0$ ) such that

$$\left\langle \varphi_*^{\dagger}, \varphi^{\dagger} - \varphi \right\rangle \leq \beta \Delta \left( \varphi, \varphi^{\dagger} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda \left( \frac{\mathcal{S} \left( F \left( \varphi \right); g^{\dagger} \right)}{\Delta \left( \varphi, \varphi^{\dagger} \right)} \right)$$
 for all  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

Moreover, we assume that  $t \mapsto \frac{\Lambda(t)}{\sqrt{t}}$  is monotonically decreasing.

 $\Delta\left(\varphi,\varphi^{\dagger}\right):=\mathcal{R}\left(\varphi\right)-\mathcal{R}\left(\varphi^{\dagger}\right)-\left\langle\varphi_{*}^{\dagger},\varphi-\varphi^{\dagger}\right\rangle\text{ is the Bregman distance.}$ 

Frank Werner, Göttingen

#### Noise I

In case of S being the r-th power of a norm one usually assumes  $\|g^{obs} - g^{\dagger}\| \leq \delta$  which by the triangle inequality leads to

$$2^{1-r} \left\| g - g^{\dagger} \right\|^{r} - \delta^{r} \leq \left\| g - g^{\text{obs}} \right\|^{r} \leq 2^{r-1} \left\| g - g^{\dagger} \right\|^{r} + 2^{r-1} \delta^{r}$$

for all  $g \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

In case of Poisson noise and the negative log-likelihood as data misfit, we obtain the following difficulties:

- The data misfit functional does not fulfill a triangle inequality.
- $\mathcal{S}(g; g^{\text{obs}})$  might be  $\infty$  even if  $\mathcal{S}(g; g^{\dagger})$  is finite and vice versa.

#### Noise II

In case of S being the r-th power of a norm one usually assumes  $\|g^{obs} - g^{\dagger}\| \leq \delta$  which by the triangle inequality leads to

$$2^{1-r} \left\| g - g^{\dagger} \right\|^{r} - \delta^{r} \leq \left\| g - g^{\text{obs}} \right\|^{r} \leq 2^{r-1} \left\| g - g^{\dagger} \right\|^{r} + 2^{r-1} \delta^{r}$$

for all  $g \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

#### Generalization:

#### Noise level

There exists some  $C_{err} \geq 1$  and a functional  $err : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\mathsf{\textit{C}}_{\mathrm{err}}}\mathcal{S}\left(g;g^{\dagger}\right) - \mathsf{err}\left(g\right) \leq \mathcal{S}\left(g;g^{\mathrm{obs}}\right) \leq \mathsf{\textit{C}}_{\mathrm{err}}\mathcal{S}\left(g;g^{\dagger}\right) + \mathsf{\textit{C}}_{\mathrm{err}}\mathsf{err}\left(g\right)$$

for all  $g \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

Frank Werner, Göttingen

## Nonlinearity estimate

#### Generalized tangential cone condition

There exist constants  $\eta$  (later assumed to be sufficiently small) and  $C_{\rm tc} \geq 1$  such that

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{C_{\rm tc}} \mathcal{S}\left(F\left(\psi\right); \mathbf{g}^{\dagger}\right) - \eta \mathcal{S}\left(F\left(\varphi\right); \mathbf{g}^{\dagger}\right) \\ \leq & \mathcal{S}\left(F\left(\varphi\right) + F'\left(\varphi; \psi - \varphi\right); \mathbf{g}^{\dagger}\right) \\ \leq & \mathcal{C}_{\rm tc} \mathcal{S}\left(F\left(\psi\right); \mathbf{g}^{\dagger}\right) + \eta \mathcal{S}\left(F\left(\varphi\right); \mathbf{g}^{\dagger}\right) \qquad \text{for all } \varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{B}. \end{split}$$

For  $S(g; \hat{g}) = ||g - \hat{g}||^r$  this follows from the standard *tangential cone* condition

$$\left\| \mathsf{F}\left(\varphi\right) - \mathsf{F}\left(\psi\right) - \mathsf{F}'\left(\varphi; \psi - \varphi\right) \right\| \leq \bar{\eta} \left\| \mathsf{F}\left(\varphi\right) - \mathsf{F}\left(\psi\right) \right\|.$$

Frank Werner, Göttingen

## Rate function and stopping rule

Our convergence rates result uses the following rate function:

 $\Theta(t) := t\Lambda^2(t)$ .

 $\Theta$  and  $\Theta^{-1}$  are index functions.

# Rate function and stopping rule

Our convergence rates result uses the following rate function:

 $\Theta(t) := t\Lambda^2(t)$ .

 $\Theta$  and  $\Theta^{-1}$  are index functions. Moreover define

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{err}_{j} & := & \operatorname{err}\left(F\left(\varphi_{j}\right) + F'\left(\varphi_{j};\varphi_{j+1} - \varphi_{j}\right)\right) \\ & + C_{\operatorname{err}}\operatorname{err}\left(F\left(\varphi_{j}\right) + F'\left(\varphi_{j};\varphi^{\dagger} - \varphi_{j}\right)\right) \end{array}$$

and use the following stopping index:

#### Stopping rule

We define

$$j_*(\operatorname{err}_j) := \min \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Theta(\alpha_j) \le \tau \operatorname{err}_j \right\}$$

with some tuning parameter  $\tau \geq 1$ .

Frank Werner, Göttingen

Iteratively regularized Newton methods

May 25, 2011 15 / 29

## Rates of convergence

#### Convergence theorem

Let the Assumptions from above hold and let  $\eta$ ,  $\Delta(\varphi_0, \varphi^{\dagger})$  and  $\mathcal{S}(F(\varphi_0); g^{\dagger})$  sufficiently small. Then the iterates  $(\varphi_j)$  for exact data  $g^{\text{obs}} = g^{\dagger}$  fulfill

$$\Delta\left(\varphi_{j},\varphi^{\dagger}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right),$$
$$\mathcal{S}\left(F\left(\varphi_{j}\right);g^{\dagger}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\Theta\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right)$$

as  $j 
ightarrow \infty$ , and in case of noisy data for sufficiently large  $au \geq 1$  we get

$$\Delta\left(\varphi_{j_{*}},\varphi^{\dagger}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\Theta^{-1}\left(\operatorname{err}_{j_{*}}\right)\right)\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\operatorname{err}_{j_{*}}}{\Theta^{-1}\left(\operatorname{err}_{j_{*}}\right)}\right),$$
  
$$S\left(F\left(\varphi_{j_{*}}\right);g^{\dagger}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\operatorname{err}_{j_{*}}\right).$$

Frank Werner, Göttingen

Iteratively regularized Newton methods

May 25, 2011 16 / 29

• Same convergence rates in terms of

$$\mathsf{err}_{j} := rac{1}{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{err}}}\,\mathsf{err}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(arphi_{j+1}
ight)
ight) + 2\eta\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{tc}}\,\mathsf{err}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(arphi_{j}
ight)
ight) + \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{tc}}\,\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{err}}\,\mathsf{err}\left(g^{\dagger}
ight).$$

if the nonlinearity condition also holds for noisy data  $g^{\rm obs}$ .

• Same convergence rates in terms of

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{err}}_{j} := \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{err}}} \operatorname{\mathsf{err}} \left( F\left(\varphi_{j+1}\right) \right) + 2\eta \mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{tc}} \operatorname{\mathsf{err}} \left( F\left(\varphi_{j}\right) \right) + \mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{tc}} \mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{err}} \operatorname{\mathsf{err}} \left( g^{\dagger} \right).$$

if the nonlinearity condition also holds for noisy data  $g^{\rm obs}$ .

 Error decomposition and Lepskii-type parameter choice rule in the case of an additive variational inequality

$$\left\langle \varphi_{*}^{\dagger}, \varphi^{\dagger} - \varphi \right\rangle \leq \beta_{1} \Delta \left( \varphi, \varphi^{\dagger} \right) + \beta_{2} \Lambda \left( \mathcal{S} \left( F \left( \varphi \right); g^{\dagger} \right) \right).$$

B. Hofmann, B. Kaltenbacher, C. Pöschl, and O. Scherzer. A convergence rates result for Tikhonov regularization in Banach spaces with non-smooth operators.

Inverse Problems, 23(3):987–1010, 2007.

Frank Werner, Göttingen

• Same convergence rates in terms of

$$\mathsf{err}_{j} := rac{1}{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{err}}}\,\mathsf{err}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(arphi_{j+1}
ight)
ight) + 2\eta\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{tc}}\,\mathsf{err}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(arphi_{j}
ight)
ight) + \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{tc}}\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{err}}\,\mathsf{err}\left(g^{\dagger}
ight).$$

if the nonlinearity condition also holds for noisy data  $g^{\rm obs}$ .

• Error decomposition and Lepskii-type parameter choice rule in the case of an additive variational inequality

$$\left\langle \varphi_{*}^{\dagger}, \varphi^{\dagger} - \varphi \right\rangle \leq \beta_{1} \Delta \left( \varphi, \varphi^{\dagger} \right) + \beta_{2} \Lambda \left( \mathcal{S} \left( F \left( \varphi \right); g^{\dagger} \right) \right).$$

B. Hofmann, B. Kaltenbacher, C. Pöschl, and O. Scherzer. A convergence rates result for Tikhonov regularization in Banach spaces with non-smooth operators. *Inverse Problems*, 23(3):987–1010, 2007.

• Convergence rates under Hölder-type variational inequalities with index  $\nu \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$  in combination with a Lipschitz assumption.

Frank Werner, Göttingen

Important special case: Poisson data

#### Outline

## 1 Introduction

2 An iteratively regularized Newton method

#### 3 Important special case: Poisson data

4 Application to a phase retrieval problem

#### **5** Conclusion

Frank Werner, Göttingen

#### Poisson data

Let  $\mathcal{Y} = L^1(\Omega, \nu) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \nu)$  for some measure space  $(\Omega, \nu)$ , and

$$F(\varphi) \ge 0$$
  $\nu - a.e.$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

Moreover we assume that our noisy data  $g^{\rm obs}$  fulfills  $g^{\rm obs} \ge 0, \, g^{\rm obs} = 0$  where  $g^\dagger = 0$  and

$$\int\limits_{\left\{g^{\dagger}>0\right\}}\frac{|g^{\mathrm{obs}}-g^{\dagger}|^{2}}{g^{\dagger}}\,\mathrm{d}\nu\leq\frac{1}{t}$$

for some t > 0.

- This is motivated by the fact that for a Poisson process the variance decays like  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$  where t is proportional to the expected number of photons.
- t can be interpreted as an illumination time and we want to study the limit t → ∞.

Frank Werner, Göttingen

## Bounding err

The Kullback-Leibler divergence has a singularity at 0, so we define an offset version with e > 0 by

$$\mathcal{S}_{e}\left(g;g^{\mathrm{obs}}
ight) = \int_{\Omega} g - \left(g^{\mathrm{obs}} + e\right) \ln\left(\frac{g + e}{e}\right) \,\mathrm{d}x$$

for  $g \geq -\frac{e}{2}$ . The deterministic noise model implies

$$\left|\mathcal{S}_{e}(g;g^{\mathrm{obs}})-\mathcal{S}_{e}(g;g^{\dagger})\right|\leq\sqrt{\frac{C}{t}}$$

for some constant C > 0 if  $-\frac{e}{2} \le g \le B$ . Hence the inequalities for  $\operatorname{err}(F(\varphi) + F(\varphi; \psi - \varphi)), \ \varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{B}$  are fulfilled with

$$C_{\rm err} = 1$$
 and  $\mathbf{err} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{C}{t}}$ .

Frank Werner, Göttingen

# Convergence theorem

#### Convergence rates

Let the Assumptions from above hold and assume that the nonlinearity condition is true for exact data. Moreover let

$$\sup_{\varphi,\psi\in\mathfrak{B}}\left\|F\left(\varphi\right)+F'\left(\varphi;\psi-\varphi\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}<\infty.$$

Then the a-priori stopping rule  $j_* := \min \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Theta(\alpha_j) \leq \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{t}} \right\}$  with a sufficiently large parameter  $\tau > 0$  leads to the convergence rates

$$\Delta\left(\varphi_{j_{*}},\varphi^{\dagger}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\Theta^{-1}\left(t^{-1/2}\right)\right)\right),$$
$$\mathbb{KL}_{e}\left(F\left(\varphi_{j_{*}}\right);g^{\dagger}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1/2}\right).$$

Frank Werner, Göttingen

If the nonlinearity condition also holds for noisy data g<sup>obs</sup>, then the offset e can be set to 0 under a suitable variance condition on F.
 ⇒ similar rates.

- If the nonlinearity condition also holds for noisy data g<sup>obs</sup>, then the offset e can be set to 0 under a suitable variance condition on F.
   ⇒ similar rates.
- Similar rates for a Lepskii-type parameter choice rule in case of an additive variational inequality.

- If the nonlinearity condition also holds for noisy data g<sup>obs</sup>, then the offset e can be set to 0 under a suitable variance condition on F.
   ⇒ similar rates.
- Similar rates for a Lepskii-type parameter choice rule in case of an additive variational inequality.
- Ongoing work on convergence rates in case of full stochastic data, i.e. the data are given by a Poisson process.

#### Outline

## 1 Introduction

2 An iteratively regularized Newton method

3 Important special case: Poisson data

4 Application to a phase retrieval problem

#### **5** Conclusion

Frank Werner, Göttingen

#### The setting





#### M. V. Klibanov.

On the recovery of a 2-D function from the modulus of its Fourier transform. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 323(2):818–843, 2006.

Frank Werner, Göttingen

Iteratively regularized Newton methods

May 25, 2011 24 / 29

## Median results for $t = 10^4$ expected counts



# Median results for $t = 10^5$ expected counts



Frank Werner, Göttingen

# Median results for $t = 10^6$ expected counts



Frank Werner, Göttingen

Conclusion

## Outline

## 1 Introduction

2 An iteratively regularized Newton method

3 Important special case: Poisson data

Application to a phase retrieval problem

#### **5** Conclusion

Frank Werner, Göttingen

Iteratively regularized Newton methods

May 25, 2011 28 / 29

## Shown results / Outlook

- Convergence analysis for iteratively regularized Newton methods with **arbitrary** data misfit functional and **arbitrary** penalty term.
- Our results include the known results for the IRGNM.
- Applications to Poisson data via choosing  ${\mathcal S}$  to be the negative log-likelihood.
- Good numerical results in case of Poisson data.



Iteratively regularized Newton methods for general data misfit functionals and applications to Poisson data.

http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.2690v1,2011.

Frank Werner, Göttingen

Iteratively regularized Newton methods

May 25, 2011 29 / 29